



Università degli Studi di Padova





Assessing the role of agri-environmental measures to enhance the environment in the Veneto Region with a model based-approach

Francesco Morari

Department of Agronomy Food Natural resources Animals Environment - University of Padova, Italy

Introduction



Department of Agronomy Food Natural resources Animals Environment



Study Site



- Veneto region: 18400 km² (55% alluvial plain)
- Climate: continental sub-humid
- T_{mean} 7-15 °C; P 700-1400 mm y⁻¹
 ET₀ 750-1100 mm y⁻¹
- Farming covers ≈ 57% of regional land, mainly in the plain area (92%)

- Soils range from silty and sandy-loam in the plain to clay and clay-loam in the mountains and piedomont areas
- SOM: 1-2% in the plain, up to 4-6% in the mountain







Study Site







Open Questions

- Do the AEMs improve agro-ecosystems?
- Are AEMs effective regardless the geographical variability ?
- Is the «action-oriented» approach satisfactory in terms of ecological benefits?

AIMS

- Evaluate the overall effectiveness of AEMs to reduce N pollution across Veneto Region
- Test a model-GIS platform to approach a «spatial targeting» scheme that considers the pedo-climatic and management variability





MATERIAL AND METHODS





Methodological Approach



Model validation



N in crop production

N leaching

N-N₂O emission





Simulated Scenarios

BASELINE scenario

- Conventional farming practices without the adoption of AEMs
- Simulated crops across Veneto covering 60% of UAA maize, wheat, soybean, sugar beet, sunflower, rapeseed, potato, pastures and meadows (permanent or in succession)









Simulated Scenarios

Simulated Scenarios				
AEM scenario Based on spatial distribution data of AEMs - RDP 200				
AEMs	Main management aspects	ID Contraction of the second sec		
Buffer strips – new	6-m wide, no fertilization	CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE		
Woodlands in arable lands – new	No fertilization, continuous soil cover	the states		
Buffer strips – maint. (21 yrs)	6-m wide, no fertilization			
Woodlands in arable lands – maint. (21 yrs)	No fertilization, cont. soil cover	CONTINUOUS SOIL COVER		
Increase of SOM through farmyard manure input	N _{in} = 130 kg ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹			
Organic farming – new	Organic instead of mineral input	C		
Organic farming – maint. (21 yrs)	Organic instead of mineral input	MEADOWS		
Pastures & permanent meadows – maint. (21 yrs)	No chemical fertilization	1EAD maint		
Arable lands to permanent meadows	No fertilization	new		
Conservation agriculture – new	No till, permanent soil cover, crop rotations			
Continuous soil cover – new	Cont. soil cover, green manure	C		
Optimization of irrigation	Irrigation -25%	IF ORGANIC FERTILIZATIONS		
Optimization of fertilization	Mineral fertilization -30%	FERT opt		

AEMs Performance

- Soil quality: SOC stock (0-30 cm layer), soil erosion
- Water quality: tota N leaching, P leaching, P runoff
- **GHG emissions:** CO2, CH₄, N₂O

Difference between agroecosystems adopting (Y_m) and not adopting (Y_0) the AEMs:

 Δ Absolute

$$\Delta Y = Y_m - Y_0$$

 Δ Relative

$$\Delta Y\% = \frac{Y_m - Y_0}{|Y_0|} \cdot 100$$

AEM effectiveness				
Agroecosystem quality	SOC stock	N leaching	N-N ₂ O	
	(Mg ha ⁻¹)	(kg ha-1 y-1)		
High	>65	<10	<]	
Medium	40-65	10-35	1-3	
Low	< 40	>35	>3	





RESULTS







ΔSOC



+ 15% in the low plain+ 45-75% in the piedmont areas





ΔN LEACHING





Surplus of N in sandy & silty soils + 0-80%





$\Delta N_2 O EMISSIONS$







••

fertilization efficiency



AEMs effectiveness







AEMs effectiveness







AEMs effectiveness







Conclusions

- DAYCENT is a sensitive model but is not able to represent the total complexity of the agro-ecosystems (e.g. weed effect, soil compaction)
- The effectiveness of AEMs was different in a spatiotemporal perspective → address agro-environmental policies towards a spatial target (result-oriented) approach instead of a generalized support to farmers (action-oriented)
- Long-term evaluation of AEMs is sometimes required (e.g. organic farming)
- N fertilizer management (reduced mineral N, change to organic N) is sometimes inefficient unless combined with others
- Best strategies for N cycle improvement include i) permanent soil cover;
 ii) minimum soil disturbance







Questions?



Acknowledgments:

This research was co-financed by the Rural Development Programme for the Veneto 2007-2013





