

# Ideas for the governance of the EU CAP Network

## European Rural Networks' Assembly 8th Meeting

Regional clusters - ensure the integration of work and information between SG and NRNs. This would allow a smaller SG which is beneficial. Ensure that one representative from every cluster would attend the SG (Edgars)

Nomination of participants should be more flexible. Choose between participants within the team (COPACOGECA)

Keep Assembly and SG (COPACOGECA)

Rotation principle would be more interesting within the organisation (COPACOGECA)

Smaller = more efficient

There should be space in each meeting for network members to suggest topics for discussion, obviously within the terms of reference (Mr. Jones)

Add interpretation for virtual governance meetings (Mr. Peltre)

Smaller steering groups are interesting, but in a larger steering group, NRNs obtain a broad spectrum of information, (which we have received today), that we may not obtain otherwise (IE NRN)



To counteract this narrowing, a governance model involving other actors (other DGs, other funds, other sectors than agriculture) should be taken into account (FMT)

Separate the specific agricultural aspects of the first pillar from the broad thematic approach of the second pillar. Otherwise, it will be too difficult for the participants to process a huge mountain of information that is not really relevant for their daily work (BAG LAG DE)

The EU CAP Network structure are provisions offered under the CAP regulations